Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/040,055	FALBO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helen Rossoshek	2825
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.	(3)	
(2) Jeanette Harms (Registration No. 35,537).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2006</u>	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 11, 33, 37-43 and 55-99		,
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to amend claimes 11, 33, 37-43, 55-57, 59-64 and 85 according the the comments made in the Decision on Appeal, additionally Examiner proposed an amendment to the claims 87 and 96 to clarify scope of the claims (see Detailed Action). Agreement was reached..